arXiv:0809.4070v1 [math.DG] 24 Sep 2008

Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs over manifolds

David Iglesias Ponte[1], Ping Xu[2]
[1]*Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UCM-UC3M), Spain*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, USA*

e-mail: iglesias@imaff.cfmac.csic.es, ping@math.psu.edu

Abstract

We introduce the notion of Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs over manifolds, using the so-called generalized Dirac structures. As an example, we describe Hamiltonian spaces of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid using this general framework. We also discuss reduction of Hamiltonian spaces of this general type.

1 Introduction

In recent years, several Lie-group valued moment map theories haven been introduced, extending the usual Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on a symplectic manifold M (in this case, the target of the moment map is $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the dual space of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G), including McDuff's $\mathbb{S}^1$ valued moment maps [16], Lu's Poisson Lie group valued moment maps [13] and the Lie group valued moment maps of Alekseev *et al* [2].

In order to give a common framework to all of these, in [1] Alekseev and Kosmann-Schwarzback introduced a moment map theory based on Manin pairs $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g})$ and Manin quasi-triples. In such a case, the moment map takes values in the homogeneous space D/G, where D and G are Lie groups integrating $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. More precisely, given a Manin quasi-triple $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\mathfrak{h}$ being an isotropic complement of a maximal isotropic Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{d}$, one constructs a Lie quasi-bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ which can be integrated to a quasi-Poisson Lie group. A quasi-Poisson space is a G-manifold X endowed with a bivector Π_X satisfying certain compatibility conditions. It is quasi-hamiltonian if there exists a moment map $J : X \to D/G$, which induces the G-action on X in a certain sense. In particular, if $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Manin triple, that is, $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ defines a Drinfeld's Lie bialgebra, we recover Lu's moment map for Poisson group actions.

The notion of Courant algebroids is a natural generalization of double of Lie bialgebras [11]. Roughly speaking, a Courant algebroid is a vector bundle $E \to S$ endowed with a non-skew symmetric bracket on $\Gamma(E)$, a bundle map $\widetilde{\rho} : E \to TS$ and a nondegenerate bilinear form on E, satisfying certain axioms similar to those of a Lie algebroid. One can also define the notion of Dirac structures. When the base space S is a single point, a Courant algebroid with a Dirac structure reduces to a Manin pair $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g})$. Courant algebroids and Dirac structures have been used in the study of Poisson homogeneous spaces for Poisson groupoids and Poisson reduction (for more details, see [12]).

Closely related to Courant algebroids is the notion of quasi-Lie bialgebroids, first introduced by Roytenberg [17], which is a natural generalization of Lie bialgebroids [15]. It also generalizes Drinfeld's quasi-Lie bialgebras [9], the classical limit of quasi-Hopf algebras. More precisely, given a Courant algebroid $E \to S$ and a Dirac structure A on it, if we choose an isotropic complement B of A in E, we obtain a quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Conversely, from a quasi-Lie bialgebroid structure on a Lie algebroid $A \to S$, one can construct a Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus A^* \to S$. The correspondence is analog to the that between Manin quasi-triples and Lie quasi-bialgebras. In addition, if the isotropic complement B is integrable, that is, it is also a Dirac structure, we have a Manin triple of a Lie bialgebroid [11].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of a Hamiltonian space for a Manin pair over a manifold, that is, a Courant algebroid $\mathfrak{D} \to S$ along with a Dirac structure $A \to S$ on it. In order to do so, we first recall the notion of a generalized Dirac structure for a Courant algebroid (see [3]). This is a Lagrangian subbundle $F \to Q$ of the Courant algebroid where the integrability conditions have been weakened, since the base space Q is only a submanifold of S. More precisely, a Hamiltonian space for a Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ is a manifold X together with a smooth map $J : X \to S$ such that there exists a generalized Dirac structure $F \to \mathit{graph}\, J$ in the product Courant algebroid $\mathfrak{D} \times (TX \oplus T^*X) \to S \times X$ satisfying some extra conditions. Our work was inspired by [6], where quasi-Poisson spaces for a Manin pair $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g})$ are interpreted as Dirac structures on $\mathfrak{d} \times (TX \oplus T^*X) \to X$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the definition of a Courant algebroid and its relation with quasi-Lie bialgebroids. We illustrate the notion with some examples which will be useful along the paper, in particular, the quasi-Lie bialgebroid associated with a Manin-quasi triple. Finally, we recall the notion of a generalized Dirac structure for a Courant algebroid, the notion in which our main definition is based on. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of a Hamiltonian space for a Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ over a manifold S with moment map $J : X \to S$. From this concept it is possible to deduce an action of the Lie algebroid A on $J : X \to S$ and a Dirac structure $F(A)$ on X. This Dirac structure will allow us to apply the standard reduction procedure [8] and construct a Poisson structure on its quotient space $X/\!\!\sim$. In Section 4, we study the particular situation when we choose an isotropic complement B of A in $\mathfrak{D}$. More precisely, we prove that Hamiltonian spaces for quasi-Lie bialgebroids are in one-to-one correspondence with Hamiltonian spaces for the corresponding Manin pair. Several examples are discussed: Poisson actions of Lie-bialgebroids on Poisson manifolds and quasi-Hamiltonian spaces for Manin quasi-triples.

Recently, Dirac realizations have been used to characterize quasi-hamiltonian spaces [4, 5]. The connection between [4, 5] and our theory is explored in a subsequent paper [7].

2 Preliminaries

We start recalling several notions which will be used along the paper.

2.1 Lie algebroids

A **Lie algebroid** A over a manifold S is a vector bundle A over S together with a Lie bracket $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]$ on the space of sections $\Gamma(A)$ and a bundle map $\rho\colon A \to TS$, called the **anchor map**, such that ρ induces a Lie algebra morphism $\Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(S)$ and the following compatibility condition holds:

$$[\![X, fY]\!] = f[\![X, Y]\!] + (\rho(X)(f))Y.$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(S)$ and for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$. The triple $(A, [\![\cdot,\cdot]\!], \rho)$ is called a Lie algebroid over S (see [14]).

Let $(A, [\![\cdot,\cdot]\!], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold S and $J : X \to S$ be a smooth map. An **action** of A on $J : X \to S$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear map

$$\Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(X), \quad X \in \Gamma(A) \mapsto \hat{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(X),$$

such that:

$$\widehat{fX} = (J^*f)\hat{X}, \quad \widehat{[\![X,Y]\!]} = [\hat{X}, \hat{Y}], \quad J_*(\hat{X}(x)) = \rho(X(J(x))),$$

for $f \in C^\infty(S)$, $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $x \in X$. This is simply an infinitesimal version of Lie groupoid action.

2.2 Courant algebroids

Definition 2.1 A **Courant algebroid** over a manifold S is a vector bundle $E \to S$ equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on the bundle, a bundle map $\widetilde{\rho} : E \to TS$ and a bilinear bracket $\circ$ on $\Gamma(E)$, called *Dorfman bracket*, such that for all $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, $f \in C^\infty(S)$ the following axioms are satisfied:

i) $e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3\} + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3)$;

ii) $e \circ e = \mathcal{D}(e \,|\, e)$;

iii) $\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\rho}(e)}(e_1 \,|\, e_2) = (e \circ e_1 | \, e_2) + (e_1 \,|\, e \circ e_2)$;

iv) $\widetilde{\rho}(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\widetilde{\rho}(e_1), \widetilde{\rho}(e_2)]$;

v) $e_1 \circ (f\, e_2) = f(e_1 \circ e_2) + (\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\rho}(e_1)} f)e_2$,

where $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(S) \to \Gamma(E)$ is defined by $(\mathcal{D}f \,|\, e) = \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\rho}(e)} f$. Note that the Dorfman bracket $\circ$ is not skew-symmetric in general. Its skew-symmetrization is called *Courant bracket* and satisfies a set of more complicated equations [12].

A subbundle $L \subset E$ is called a **Dirac structure** (or a **Dirac subbundle**) if it is maximal isotropic with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)$ and if $\Gamma(L)$ is closed $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$.

The following is well known.

Proposition 2.2 *If $L \subset E$ is a Dirac structure for the Courant algebroid $E \to S$ then $L \to S$ is a Lie algebroid, where the bracket $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]$ is just the restriction of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ to $\Gamma(L)$ and the anchor $\rho : L \to TS$ is the restriction of $\widetilde{\rho} : E \to TS$ to L.*

Example 2.3 A **Manin pair** $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g})$ is an even dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ with an invariant, nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (which is a Courant algebroid over a point) and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra (Dirac structure) of $\mathfrak{d}$

Example 2.4 Let M be an arbitrary manifold. On the vector bundle $E_M = TM \oplus T^*M$ over M, there exists a natural symmetric pairing $(\cdot|\cdot)$ given by

$$((X_1, \alpha_1) \,|\, (X_2, \alpha_2)) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_1(X_2) + \alpha_2(X_1)), \tag{1}$$

for $(X_i, \alpha_i) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. On the other hand, the **Courant bracket** $[\cdot,\cdot]_c$ on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is defined by

$$[(X_1, \alpha_1), (X_2, \alpha_2)]_c = \big([X_1, X_2], \mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2 - i_{X_2} d\alpha_1\big), \tag{2}$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the usual Lie bracket of vector fields and $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie derivative operator on M. We have that $(E_M, (\cdot|\cdot), [\cdot,\cdot]_c, \widetilde{\rho})$ is a Courant algebroid, where $\widetilde{\rho} : E_M \to TM$ is the first projection. This was first introduced in [8]. An important feature of Dirac structure lies in the study of reduction [8], which we recall briefly below.

Given a Dirac structure L on a manifold M, a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is said to be **admissible** if there exists $X_f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $(X_f, df) \in \Gamma(L)$. The space of admissible functions, denoted by $C^\infty_L(M)$, is endowed with a Poisson algebra structure as follows. If $f, g \in C^\infty_L(M)$ then

$$\{f, g\}_L = X_f(g).$$

A Dirac structure L on a manifold M induces a singular distribution $L \cap TM$, which is called the **characteristic distribution** of L. In addition, if $M/(L \cap TM)$ is a smooth manifold, it is easy to see that $C^\infty(M/(L \cap TM))$ is isomorphic $C^\infty_L(M)$. Thus $M/(L \cap TM)$ is a Poisson manifold.

2.3 Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and Courant algebroids

Quasi-Lie bialgebroids, which were first introduced in [17], are closely related with Courant algebroids and Dirac structures. Here, we give an alternative interpretation using 2-differentials [10].

Definition 2.5 A **quasi-Lie bialgebroid** consists of a triple (A, δ, Ω), where A is a Lie algebroid, δ is a 2-differential, and $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ such that

$$\delta^2 = [\![\Omega, \cdot]\!], \qquad \delta\Omega = 0.$$

Here, by a 2-differential on a Lie algebroid A, we mean a linear operator $\delta : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$ satisfying

i) $\delta(P \wedge Q) = (\delta P) \wedge Q + (-1)^p P \wedge \delta Q$,

ii) $\delta[\![P, Q]\!] = [\![\delta P, Q]\!] + (-1)^{(p+1)}[\![P, \delta Q]\!]$,

for all $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^p A)$ and $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^q A)$.

Example 2.6 If $\Omega = 0$, then δ is a 2-differential of square 0. Thus, one can define a Lie algebroid structure on A^* by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_*(\xi_1)(f) & = & \langle \delta f, \xi_1 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle [\![\xi_1, \xi_2]\!]_*, X \rangle & = & \rho_*(\xi_1)(\xi_2(X)) - \rho_*(\xi_2)(\xi_1(X)) - \langle \delta X, \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \rangle, \end{array}$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(A^*)$, $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(S)$. Using *ii)* in Definition 2.5, we conclude that the pair (A, A^*) is a **Lie bialgebroid** (see [15]).

Example 2.7 [1] Let $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{g})$ be a **Manin pair** and (D,G) the corresponding group pair, i.e. D and G are connected and simply connected Lie groups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ respectively. Furthermore, the action of the Lie group D on itself by left multiplication induces an action of D on $S=D/G$, and in particular a G-action on S, which is called the **dressing action**. As in [1], the infinitesimal dressing action is denoted by $v\mapsto v_S$ for any $v\in\mathfrak{d}$.

If $\mathfrak{h}$ is an isotropic complement of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{d}$, by identifying $\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^*$, we obtain a quasi-Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, with the cobracket $F:\mathfrak{g}\to\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ and $\Omega\in\wedge^3\mathfrak{g}$. If $\{e_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{\epsilon^i\}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*\cong\mathfrak{h}$, then we may use $F(e_i)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k}F_i^{jk}e_j\wedge e_k$ and $\Omega=\frac{1}{6}\sum_{i,j,k}\Omega^{ijk}e_i\wedge e_j\wedge e_k$. Moreover, the bracket on $\mathfrak{d}\cong\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ can be written as

$$[e_i,e_j]_{\mathfrak{d}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{ij}^{k}e_k,\quad [e_i,\epsilon^j]_{\mathfrak{d}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}-c_{ik}^{j}\epsilon^k+F_i^{jk}e_k,\quad [\epsilon^i,\epsilon^j]_{\mathfrak{d}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}F_k^{ij}\epsilon^k+\Omega^{ijk}e_k,\tag{3}$$

where c_{ij}^k are the structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}$. We call $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ a **Manin quasi-triple**. Conversely, given a a quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g},F,\Omega)$, then $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Manin-quasi triple, where the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by Eq. (3) and the pairing is the canonical one.

Given $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ a Manin quasi-triple, let $\lambda:T_s^*S\to\mathfrak{g}$ be the dual map of the infinitesimal dressing action $\mathfrak{g}^*\cong\mathfrak{h}\to T_sS$. That is, $\langle\lambda(\theta_s),\eta\rangle=\langle\theta_s,\eta_S(s)\rangle$, for $\theta_s\in T_s^*S$ and $\eta\in\mathfrak{h}$. A direct consequence is

$$\lambda(df)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\epsilon^i)_S(f)e_i,\ \text{ for } f\in C^\infty(S).\tag{4}$$

Assume that $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ is a Manin quasi-triple with associated quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g},F,\Omega)$. On the transformation Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}\times S\to S$ define an almost 2-differential

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f)&=\lambda(df),\ \text{ for } f\in C^\infty(S),\\ \delta\xi&=-F(\xi),\ \text{ for } \xi\in\mathfrak{g},\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where λ is defined by Eq. (4) and $\xi\in\mathfrak{g}$ is considered as a constant section of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}\times S\to S$, extending this operation to arbitrary section using the derivation law. Then, $(\mathfrak{g}\times S,\delta,\Omega)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid (for more details, see [10]).

Next, we will recall the relation between quasi-Lie bialgebroids and Courant algebroids, which generalize the correspondence between quasi-Lie bialgebras and Manin quasi-triples.

Let (A,δ,Ω) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Then, using δ, one can construct a pair $([\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]_*,\rho_*)$ as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_*(\xi_1)(f)\quad&=\quad\langle\delta f,\xi_1\rangle,\quad\text{and}\\ \langle[\![\xi_1,\xi_2]\!]_*,X\rangle\quad&=\quad\rho_*(\xi_1)(\xi_2(X))-\rho_*(\xi_2)(\xi_1(X))-\langle\delta X,\xi_1\wedge\xi_2\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

for $\xi_1,\xi_2\in\Gamma(A^*)$, $X\in\Gamma(A)$ and $f\in C^\infty(S)$. Thus, we can obtain Courant algebroid $E=A\oplus A^*$ where the anchor $\widetilde{\rho}$ and the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\rho}\quad&=\quad\rho+\rho_*\\ \{(a_1,\xi_1),(a_2,\xi_2)\}\quad&=\quad([\![a_1,a_2]\!]-i_{\xi_2}\delta a_1+\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}a_2+(\xi_1\wedge\xi_2)\lrcorner\Omega,\\ &\qquad[\![\xi_1,\xi_2]\!]_*-i_{a_2}d_A\xi_1+\mathcal{L}_{a_1}\xi_2).\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Conversely, suppose that $E \to S$ is a Courant algebroid and that $A \to S$ is a Dirac structure for E. If we choose H a complementary isotropic subbundle of A (not necessarily integrable) then one can identify H with A^* using $(\cdot|\cdot)$ so that $E \cong A \oplus A^*$. In addition, the anchor map and the bracket on $E \cong A \oplus A^*$ are defined by Eq. (7), where $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]_*$, ρ_* and $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ are characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} [\![\xi_1, \xi_2]\!]_* &= \mathrm{pr}_{A^*}(\{(0,\xi_1),(0,\xi_2)\}) \\ \rho_*(\xi_1) &= \widetilde{\rho}((0,\xi_1)) \\ \Omega(\xi_1,\xi_2) &= \mathrm{pr}_A\{(0,\xi_1),(0,\xi_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(A^*)$. If we construct $\delta : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$ mimicking the definition of the differential of a Lie algebroid, we see that (A, δ, Ω) is a quasi-Lie algebroid (for more details, see [17]). If the isotropic complement $H \to S$ is also a Dirac structure then, $\Omega = 0$ and, as a consequence, (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

2.4 Generalized Dirac structures for Courant algebroids

In this Section, we will generalize the notion of a Dirac structure A for a Courant algebroid $E \to S$, allowing the base space Q of A to be a submanifold of S.

Definition 2.8 [3] Given a Courant algebroid $E \to S$, a Lagrangian subbundle $F \to Q$ over a submanifold Q of S is a **generalized Dirac structure** if

i) F is compatible with the anchor, that is, $\widetilde{\rho}(F) \subset TQ$, where $\widetilde{\rho} : E \to TS$ is the anchor of the Courant algebroid;

ii) for any sections e_1, e_2 of E such that $e_1|_Q, e_2|_Q \in \Gamma(F)$, $\{e_1, e_2\}|_Q \in \Gamma(F)$, where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is the Courant algebroid bracket.

Remark In the above definition, the bracket on ii) can also be replaced by the Dorfman bracket $\circ$. One can easily check that they are equivalent. This is because $e_1 \circ e_2|_Q - \{e_1, e_2\}|_Q = D(e_1, e_2)|_Q \in \Gamma(F)$ since $(D(e_1, e_2), e) = 0$ for any $e \in \Gamma(F)$.

Example 2.9 Let (S, π) be a Poisson manifold and $TS \oplus_\pi T^*S$ be the corresponding Courant algebroid coming from the Lie bialgebroid structure $(TS, \delta_\pi, 0)$, where $\delta_\pi : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet(TS)) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1}(TS))$ is given by $\delta_\pi P = [\pi, P]$. Then, it is not difficult to show that a submanifold Q of S is a coisotropic submanifold if and only if $TQ \oplus NQ \to Q$ is a generalized Dirac structure for $TS \oplus_\pi T^*S$, NQ being the normal bundle of Q.

Example 2.10 Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) be Lie bialgebroids over bases M and N respectively, and $E_1 = A \oplus A^*$ and $E_2 = B \oplus B^*$ be their doubles. Assume that $\Phi : A \to B$ is a Lie bialgebroid morphism over $\phi : M \to N$. Then

$$F = \{((a, -\Phi^* b_*), (\Phi a, b_*)) \,|\, \forall\, a \in A_x \text{ and } b_* \in B^*_{\phi(x)}\} \subset E_1 \times E_2$$

is a generalized Dirac structure.

3 Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs over manifolds

In this Section, we will introduce the notion of Hamiltonian space for a Manin pair over a manifold. Some of their properties will be developed and a reduction process will be explained.

3.1 Definition and main properties

Let $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ be a **Manin pair** over S, that is, $\mathfrak{D} \to S$ be a Courant algebroid and $A \to S$ a Dirac structure on it.

Definition 3.1 A **Hamiltonian space** for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ (over the manifold S) is a manifold X together with a smooth map $J : X \to S$ such that there exists a generalized Dirac structure $F \subset E = \mathfrak{D} \times (TX \oplus T^*X)$ over $Q = \mathit{graph}\, J$ such that

i) F intersects TX trivially;

ii) the intersection of F with $E \times (TX \oplus \{0\})$ projects onto A under the natural map $E \to \mathfrak{D}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. Then,*

i) For any $a \in A_{J(x)}$, there exists a unique $u \in T_xX$ such that $(a, (u, 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$.

ii) For any $\alpha \in T^*_xX$, there exists $d \in \mathfrak{D}_{J(x)}$ and $u \in T_xX$ such that $(d, (u, \alpha)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$.

Proof. Using *ii)* in Definition 3.1, we know that for any $a \in A_{J(x)}$ there exists $u \in T_xX$ such that $(a, (u, 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$. If $u' \in T_xX$ such that $(a, (u', 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$ then, we have that $(0, (u - u', 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$. Using *i)* in Definition 3.1, we conclude that $u = u'$. This proves *i)*.

Now, consider the projection $\varphi : F \to T^*X$. Using *ii)* in Definition 3.1, one can deduce that $\mathrm{Ker}\, \varphi = F \cap (\mathfrak{D} \times (TX \oplus \{0\})) \cong A$. Thus, φ is surjective, so we can conclude *ii)*. $\Box$

Proposition 3.3 *Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. Then, there exists a Lie algebroid action of $A \to S$ on $J : X \to S$.*

Proof. First, we will show that there exists a bundle map $\Phi : T^*X \to A^*$ over $J : X \to S$. Given $\alpha \in T^*_xX$ one can define $\Phi(\alpha) : A_{J(x)} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(\alpha)(a) = \langle \alpha, u \rangle, \text{ for } a \in A_{J(x)},$$

where, using *i)* in Lemma 3.2, $u \in T_xX$ is the unique vector satisfying $(a, (u, 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$.

Observe that, as the consequence of the definition of $\Phi : T^*X \to A^*$, we have a map $\hat{\ } : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(X)$ such that $(a(J(x)), (\hat{a}(x), 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$. Let us see that $\hat{\ } : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(X)$, $a \mapsto \hat{a}$, indeed defines a Lie algebroid action on $J : X \to S$.

First, since F is compatible with the anchor $\mathfrak{D} \times (TX \oplus T^*X) \to T(S \times X)$, $e = (d, (u, \alpha)) \mapsto \widetilde{\rho}(d) + u$, if we take $e = (a, (\hat{a}, 0))$, $a \in A_{J(x)}$, we see that $\rho(a) + \hat{a} \in TQ$ if and only if

$$J_*(\hat{a}(x)) = \rho(a(J(x))).$$

Moreover, from *ii)* in Definition 2.8 in the particular case when $e_i|_{(J(x),x)} = ((a_i(J(x)),0),(\hat{a}_i(x),0))$, $a_i \in \Gamma(A)$, $x \in X$ and $i = 1,2$, we get that

$$\{e_1, e_2\} = ([\![a_1, a_2]\!], ([\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2], 0))$$

and, as a consequence, $\{e_1, e_2\}|_{(J(x),x)} \in F$ is equivalent to

$$\widehat{[\![a_1, a_2]\!]} = [\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2], \forall\, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A).$$

Therefore, we conclude our result. □

Proposition 3.4 *Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. Then,*

$$F(A) = \{(u, \alpha) \in TX \oplus T^*X \mid (a, (u, \alpha)) \in F,\, \exists\, a \in A\}$$

is a Dirac structure on $TX \oplus T^*X$.

Proof. First, let us see that $F(A)$ is an isotropic subbundle. If $(u, \alpha), (v, \beta) \in F(A)$ then there exists $a, b \in A$ such that $(a, (u, \alpha)), (b, (v, \beta)) \in F$. Using that $F \subset \mathfrak{D} \oplus (TX \oplus T^*X)$ and $A \subset \mathfrak{D}$ are isotropic, we get that

$$0 = \Big((a, (u, \alpha))\Big|(b, (v, \beta))\Big) = (a \,|\, b) + \beta(u) + \alpha(v) = \beta(u) + \alpha(v).$$

Let $\alpha \in \text{Ker } \Phi_x \subset T^*_x X$. Then, from *ii)* in Lemma 3.2, we have that there exists $d \in \mathfrak{D}_{J(x)}$ and $u \in T_x X$ such that $(d, (u, \alpha)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$. In addition, for any $a \in A_{J(x)}$, we have that

$$0 = \Big((d, (u, \alpha))\Big|(a, (\hat{a}, 0))\Big) = (d \,|\, a) + \Phi(\alpha)(a) = (d \,|\, a).$$

Since $A_{J(x)}$ is maximally isotropic, we deduce that $d \in A_{J(x)}$ and, as a consequence, $(u, \alpha) \in F(A)_x$.

Suppose that *dim* Ker $\Phi_x = i$. Take $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i\}$ a basis of Ker Φ_x. Then, there exists $u_1, \ldots, u_i$ such that $(u_1, \alpha_1), \ldots, (u_i, \alpha_i) \in F(A)_x$. On the other hand, take a basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-i}\}$ of Im $(\Phi_x)^*$ (k represents the dimension of X). It is not difficult to prove that $\{(u_1, \alpha_1), \ldots, (u_i, \alpha_i), (\hat{v}_1, 0), \ldots, (\hat{v}_{k-i}, 0)\}$ are linearly independent in $F(A)_x$. Therefore $rank\, F(A)_x = dim\, X$

Finally, we prove that $\Gamma(F(A))$ is closed under the Courant bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_c$. Take $(X_1, \alpha_1), (X_2, \alpha_2) \in \Gamma(F(A))$. There exist $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ such that $e_i|_{graph\, J} = (a_i, (X_i, \alpha_i)) \in \Gamma(F)$. Since F is a generalized Dirac structure, we obtain that

$$\Gamma(F) \ni \{e_1, e_2\}|_{graph\, J} = ([a_1, a_2], [(X_1, \alpha_1), (X_2, \alpha_2)]_c).$$

Since $[a_1, a_2] \in \Gamma(A)$ (A is a Dirac structure), we deduce that $[(X_1, \alpha_1), (X_2, \alpha_2)]_c \in \Gamma(F(A))$. □

3.2 Reduction

In this Section we will show that, given a Hamiltonian space $J : X \to S$, one can develop a reduction procedure in X, under some regularity assumptions. More precisely,

Theorem 3.5 *Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. Then, the orbit space $X/\!\!\sim$ is a Poisson manifold*

PROOF. Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. Then, from Proposition (3.4), we have that

$$F(A) = \{(u, \alpha) \in TX \oplus T^*X \,|\, (a, (u, \alpha)) \in F, \, \exists \, a \in A\}$$

is a Dirac structure on $TX \oplus T^*X$. Thus, according to [8], the set of admissible functions is endowed with a Poisson algebra structure.

Next, let us describe the characteristic distribution of $F(A)$. If $u_x \in F(A) \cap TX$ then there exists $a \in A_{J(x)}$ such that

$$(a, (u_x, 0)) \in F_{(J(x), x)}$$

and, as a consequence, $\hat{a} = u_x$. Therefore, the characteristic distribution $F(A) \cap TX$ is just the distribution defined by the action of the Lie algebroid A over $J : X \to S$ and the manifold $X/\!\!\sim$ is the reduction of the space by the action.

Since the set of admissible functions of L is isomorphic to the set of functions on the quotient $X/\!\!\sim$, we conclude that $X/\!\!\sim$ is a Poisson manifold. □

4 Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs and quasi-Lie bialgebroids

4.1 Hamiltonian spaces for quasi-Lie bialgebroids

In this Section, we will recall the definition of a Hamiltonian space for quasi-Lie bialgebroids and we will illustrate the notion with a couple of examples.

Definition 4.1 Let (A, δ, Ω) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid over S. Then (X, Π_X) is a **Hamiltonian A-space** with **momentum map** $J : X \to S$ if there exists a Lie algebroid action on $J : X \to S$ such that

$$[\Pi_X, J^* f] = \widehat{\delta f}, \; \forall \, f \in C^\infty(S), \tag{8}$$

$$[\Pi_X, \hat{a}] = \widehat{\delta a}, \; \forall \, a \in \Gamma(A), \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[\Pi_X, \Pi_X] = \hat{\Omega}. \tag{10}$$

Example 4.2 Let (A, δ, Ω) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid over S. Then (S, Π_S) is a Hamiltonian A-space with momentum map $Id : S \to S$ where the Lie algebroid action is given by the anchor $\rho : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(S)$ and $\Pi_S \in \mathfrak{X}^2(S)$ is defined by

$$\Pi_S(df_1, df_2) = -\langle \rho(\delta f_1), df_2 \rangle, \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(S).$$

We recall that Eq. (10) for this example has been obtained in Proposition 4.8 in [10].

Example 4.3 Let (A, A^*) be a Lie bialgebroid over S, that is, (A, δ, Ω) is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid with $\Omega = 0$. Suppose that (X, Π_X) is a Hamiltonian A-space with momentum map $J : X \to S$.

First, from Eq. (10), it is deduced that (X, Π_X) is a Poisson manifold. Moreover, it is not difficult to show that Eqs. (8) and (9) are equivalent to the equations defining a **Poisson action** of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) on the Poisson manifold (X, Π_X) with momentum map $J : X \to S$ (see [12]).

Example 4.4 Let $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ be a quasi-Lie bialgebra with associated Manin quasi-triple $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. The Lie algebra action $\rho_X : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(X)$ is a **quasi-Poisson action** of $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ on (X, Π_X) if

$$\begin{aligned} &[\rho_X(v), \Pi_X] = -\rho_X(F(v)), \text{ for } v \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ &\frac{1}{2}[\Pi_X, \Pi_X] = \rho_X(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

(see [1]). (X, Π_X) is called a **quasi-Poisson $\mathfrak{g}$-space**.

Example 4.5 Let $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a Manin quasi-triple with corresponding quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$. Given (X, Π_X) a quasi-Poisson $\mathfrak{g}$-space, an equivariant map $J : X \to S$ is called a **momentum map** if

$$\Pi_X^\sharp(J^*\theta_s) = -(\lambda(\theta_s))_X, \text{ for } \theta_s \in T_s^*S, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on S by the dressing action, and $\lambda : T_s^*S \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the dual map of the infinitesimal dressing action $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{h} \to T_sS$, i.e.,

$$\langle \lambda(\theta_s), \eta \rangle = \langle \theta_s, \eta_S(s) \rangle, \forall\, \theta_s \in T_s^*S \text{ and } \eta \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

In this case, X is called a **quasi-hamiltonian space** (see [1]).

4.2 Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs and quasi-Lie bialgebroids

Now, we will study Hamiltonian spaces for Manin pairs $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ in the particular case when A admits an isotropic complement in $\mathfrak{D}$.

Let $J : X \to S$ be a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$. If we choose an isotropic complement B of A in $\mathfrak{D}$, then we can identify $B \cong A^*$ and $\mathfrak{D} = A \oplus B \cong A \oplus A^*$.

First, since $(a, (\hat{a}, 0)) \in F_{(J(x),x)}$, for any $a \in A_{J(x)}$, the isotropy property of F implies that any $e \in F$ must be of the form

$$e \in F \Longrightarrow e = ((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (u, \alpha)), \text{ for } a \in A, \alpha \in T^*X \text{ and } u \in TX, \tag{12}$$

where Φ is the dual of the action given by Proposition 3.3.

Next, we will define a bivector $\Pi_X \in \mathfrak{X}^2(X)$. Given $\alpha \in T^*X$, we characterize $\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha) \in TX$ by

$$\beta(\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha)) = \Phi(\beta)(a) - \beta(u), \forall\, \beta \in T^*X,$$

where, using Lemma 3.2 *ii)* and Eq. (12), $u \in TX$ and $a \in A$ satisfy $((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (u, \alpha)) \in F$. Let us see that $\Pi_X^\sharp$ is well defined. If $((a', -\Phi(\alpha)), (u', \alpha)) \in F$ then $((a' - a, 0), (u' - u, 0)) \in F$. From *ii)* in Definition 3.1, we see that $u' - u = \widehat{a' - a}$. As a consequence, $\Phi(\beta)(a) - \beta(u) = \Phi(\beta)(a') - \beta(u')$.

On the other hand, from the isotropy property of F we have that, for any $\alpha, \beta \in T^*X$,

$$0 = \Big(((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (u, \alpha)) \Big| ((b, -\Phi(\beta)), (v, \beta)) \Big) = \beta(\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha)) + \alpha(\Pi_X^\sharp(\beta)).$$

That is, $\Pi_X^\sharp$ is skew-symmetric.

Summing up, if we choose an isotropic complement B of A in $\mathfrak{D}$ then a Hamiltonian space $J : X \to S$ for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{D}, A)$ can be described by a Lie algebroid action $\hat{} : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(X)$ and a bivector Π_X such that the generalized Dirac structure is given by

$$F = \{((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (\hat{a} - \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha)) \,|\, \forall\, a \in A_{J(x)},\ \alpha \in T_x^*X\} \subset (A \oplus A^*) \times (TX \oplus T^*X).$$

Moreover,

Theorem 4.6 *Let (A, δ, Ω) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid on S and (X, Π_X) such that there exists a bundle map $\Phi : T^*X \to A^*$ over $J : X \to S$. Then, X is a Hamiltonian A-space with momentum map $J : X \to S$ if and only if $J : X \to S$ is a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(A \oplus A^*, A)$, where the generalized Dirac structure $F \to Q = graph\, J$ is given by*

$$F = \{((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (\hat{a} - \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha)) \,|\, \forall\, a \in A_{J(x)} \text{ and } \alpha \in T_x^*X\}.$$

Proof. First, we will check that F is compatible with the anchor $\widetilde{\rho} : (A \oplus A^*) \times (TX \oplus T^*X) \to T(S \times X)$, $((a, \xi), (u, \alpha)) \mapsto \rho(a) + \rho_*(\xi) + u$.

First case. $e = ((a, 0), (\hat{a}, 0))$, $a \in A_{J(x)}$.
It is trivial to see that $\widetilde{\rho}(e) = \rho(a) + \hat{a} \in TQ$ if and only if

$$J_*(\hat{a}(x)) = \rho(a(J(x))). \tag{13}$$

Second case. $e = ((0, -\Phi(\alpha)), (-\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha))$, $\alpha \in T_x^*X$.
In this case, $\widetilde{\rho}(e) \in TQ$ if and only if

$$\rho_*(\Phi(\alpha)) = J_*(\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha)). \tag{14}$$

Consider $g \in C^\infty(M)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} J_*(\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha))(g) &= \langle [\Pi_X, J^*g], \alpha \rangle, \text{ and} \\ \rho_*(\Phi(\alpha))(g) &= \langle \widehat{\delta g}(x), \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Eq. (14) is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\delta g} = [\Pi_X, J^*g],\ \forall\, g \in C^\infty(M). \tag{15}$$

Now, let us check condition *ii)* in Definition 2.8. We will distinguish three different cases here.

First case. $e_i|_{(J(x),x)} = ((a_i(J(x)), 0), (\hat{a}_i(x), 0))$, $a_i \in \Gamma(A)$, $x \in X$ and $i = 1, 2$.

$$\{e_1, e_2\} = ((\llbracket a_1, a_2 \rrbracket, 0), ([\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2], 0))$$

Therefore, $\{e_1, e_2\}|_{(J(x),x)} \in F$ is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\llbracket a_1, a_2 \rrbracket} = [\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2],\ \forall\, a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A). \tag{16}$$

Second case. $e_i|_{(J(x),x)} = ((0, -\Phi(\alpha_i(x))), (-\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_i(x)), \alpha_i(x)))), \forall x \in X$ and $i = 1, 2$. Here $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(X)$ is chosen so that $\Phi(\alpha_i)$ is a well defined section in $\Gamma(A^*)$.

$$\{e_1, e_2\} = (((\Phi(\alpha_1) \wedge \Phi(\alpha_2)) \lrcorner \Omega, [\![\Phi(\alpha_1), \Phi(\alpha_2)]\!]_*), ([\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_1), \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_2)], -[\![\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\!]_{\Pi_X})),$$

where $[\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]_{\Pi_X}$ is the bracket on $\Omega^1(X)$ associated with the bivector field Π_X. $\{e_1, e_2\}|_Q \in \Gamma(F)$ if and only if

$$a)\ \Phi([\![\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\!]_{\Pi_X}) = [\![\Phi(\alpha_1), \Phi(\alpha_2)]\!]_*,$$

$$b)\ [\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_1), \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_2)] - \Pi_X^\sharp([\![\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\!]_{\Pi_X}) = \widehat{\Big((\Phi(\alpha_1) \wedge \Phi(\alpha_2)) \lrcorner \Omega\Big)}.$$

Using the identity $[\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_1), \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha_2)] - \Pi_X^\sharp([\![\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\!]_{\Pi_X}) = (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \lrcorner \frac{1}{2}[\Pi_X, \Pi_X]$, we see that $b)$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}[\Pi_X, \Pi_X] = \hat{\Omega}. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, from Eqs. (6) and (14), we observe that $a)$ is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\delta a} = [\Pi_X, \hat{a}], \forall\, a \in \Gamma(A). \tag{18}$$

Third case. $e_1|_{(J(x),x)} = ((a(J(x)), 0), (\hat{a}(x), 0))$, $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $x \in X$ and $e_2|_{(J(x),x)} = ((0, -\Phi(\alpha(x))), (-\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha(x)), \alpha(x)))$, $\alpha \in \Omega^1(X)$, $x \in X$.

If we compute the bracket $\{e_1, e_2\}$, we obtain

$$\{e_1, e_2\} = ((i_{\Phi(\alpha)}\delta a, -\mathcal{L}_a \Phi(\alpha)), (-[\hat{a}, \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha)], \mathcal{L}_{\hat{a}}\alpha)).$$

Thus, $\{e_1, e_2\}|_{(J(x),x)} \in F$ for any $x \in X$ if and only if

$$c)\ \mathcal{L}_a(\Phi(\alpha)) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{\hat{a}}\alpha),$$

$$d)\ [\hat{a}, \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha)] = -\widehat{i_{\Phi(\alpha)}\delta a} + \Pi_X^\sharp(\mathcal{L}_{\hat{a}}\alpha).$$

Condition $c)$ is immediately deduced from the definition of the Lie derivative and Eqs. (13) and (16).

On the other hand, for any $\Pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(X)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Omega^1(X)$ we know that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [X, \Pi](\alpha_1, \alpha_2) & = & X(\Pi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) - \langle \alpha_2, \Pi^\sharp(\mathcal{L}_X \alpha_1)\rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_X \alpha_2, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1)\rangle \\ & = & -\langle \alpha_2, \Pi^\sharp(\mathcal{L}_X \alpha_1)\rangle + \langle \alpha_2, [X, \Pi^\sharp(\alpha_1)]\rangle. \end{array}$$

Since $\widehat{i_{\Phi(\alpha)}\delta a} = i_\alpha \widehat{\delta a}$, we have that $d)$ is equivalent to Eq. (18).

Summing up, we have that Eqs. (13) and (16) are equivalent to a Lie algebroid A-action on $J : X \to S$ and Eqs. (15), (17) and (18) are just the conditions in Definition 4.1. □

Remark If we choose an isotropic complement B of A, then there exists a bivector field Π_X and

$$F(A) = \{(\hat{a} - \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha) \,|\, \alpha \in T^*X,\ a \in A,\ \Phi(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

A direct computation shows that the Poisson bivector on the the orbit space is just the projection of $-\Pi_X$.

Example 4.7 Let $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ be a Lie quasi-bialgebra and $(\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ be the corresponding Manin quasi-triple (see Eq. (3) in Example 2.7). Using Theorem 4.6 we recover the result obtained in [6], that is, (X, Π_X) is a quasi-Poisson $\mathfrak{g}$-space if and only if

$$\{((\rho_X(u) - \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha), (u, -\Phi(\alpha))) \,|\, \forall\, u \in \mathfrak{g},\, \alpha \in T_x^*X\}$$

is a Dirac structure in $\mathfrak{d} \times (TX \oplus T^*X)$. In addition, the characteristic distribution of $F(\mathfrak{g} \times S)$ is just the image of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on X and the Poisson structure on the reduced space is just given by the restriction of Π_X. This was first proved in [1] (see Theorem 4.2.2).

Example 4.8 Let (X, Π_X) be quasi-Hamiltonian space for a Manin quasi-triple $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with momentum map $J : X \to S$. From the Manin quasi-triple $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ one can construct the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{d} \times S, \mathfrak{g} \times S)$ which admit an splitting $\mathfrak{d} \times S \cong (\mathfrak{g} \times S) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \times S)$. Using Example 4.8 and Theorem 4.6, we have that a quasi-hamiltonian $\mathfrak{g}$-space $(X, -\Pi_X)$ with momentum $J : X \to S$ is equivalent to a Hamiltonian space $J : X \to S$ for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{d} \times S, \mathfrak{g} \times S)$. In this case, the Dirac structure $F(\mathfrak{g} \times S)$ is given by

$$F(\mathfrak{g} \times S) = \{(\rho_X(v) + \Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha), \alpha) \,|\, \alpha \in T^*X,\, v \in \mathfrak{g},\, \Phi(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

Example 4.9 Let $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a Manin quasi-triple with corresponding quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$. Moreover, suppose that (X, Π_X) is a quasi-Hamiltonian space with momentum map $J : X \to S$.

If we consider the quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(\mathfrak{g} \times S, \delta, \Omega)$ (see Example 2.7), it is easy to show that (X, Π_X) is a quasi-Hamiltonian space for $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ if and only if $(X, -\Pi_X)$ is a Hamiltonian $(\mathfrak{g} \times S)$-space for the quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(\mathfrak{g} \times S, \delta, \Omega)$. As a consequence, (X, Π_X) is a quasi-Hamiltonian space for $(\mathfrak{g}, F, \Omega)$ with momentum map $J : X \to S$ if and only if $J : X \to S$ is a Hamiltonian space $J : X \to S$ for the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{d} \times S, \mathfrak{g} \times S)$. Moreover, an straightforward computation shows that the characteristic distribution of $F(\mathfrak{g} \times S)$ is just the image of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on X and the Poisson structure on the reduced space is just given by Π_X. This was first proved in [1] (see Theorem 4.2.2).

Example 4.10 If the isotropic complement H is a Dirac structure, we know that (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid and, thus, (X, Π_X) is a Poisson manifold . Using the Courant algebroid isomorphism $TX \oplus_{\Pi_X} T^*X \to TX \oplus T^*X$, $(v, \alpha) \mapsto (\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha) + v, \alpha)$ we get that

$$F = \{((a, -\Phi(\alpha)), (\hat{a}, \alpha)) \,|\, \forall\, a \in A_{J(x)} \,\text{and}\, \alpha \in T_x^*X\} \subset (A \oplus A^*) \times (TX \oplus T^*X)$$

is a generalized Dirac structure in $(A \oplus A^*) \times (TX \oplus_{\Pi_X} T^*X)$. As a consequence (see Example 2.10), we conclude that the dual of the action $\Phi : T^*X \to A^*$ is a Lie bialgebroid morphism between (T^*X, TX) and (A^*, A).

A particular situation of the previous example is the following one.

Example 4.11 Let $J : X \to S$ be a Poisson map. Then, using that it induces a Lie bialgebroid morphism, it is a Hamiltonian space for the Manin pair $(T^*S \oplus_{\Pi_S} TS, T^*S)$. In this case, the Dirac structure $F(T^*S)$ is given by

$$F(T^*S) = \{(\Pi_X^\sharp(J^*\beta - \alpha), \alpha) \,|\, \alpha \in T^*X,\, \beta \in T^*S,\, J_*\Pi_X^\sharp(\alpha) = 0\} \subset TX \oplus T^*X,$$

the characteristic distribution is

$$\mathfrak{l} = F(T^*S) \cap TX = \{\Pi_X^\sharp(J^*\beta) \,|\, \beta \in T^*X\}.$$

and the Poisson structure on $X/\mathfrak{l}$ is just the restriction of Π_X.

Acknowledgments. Iglesias Ponte's research was partially supported by grants MTM2007-62478 and S-0505/ESP/0158 of the CAM, and Xu's research was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0605725 and DMS-0801129 & NSA grant H98230-06-1-0047. Iglesias Ponte thanks CSIC for a "JAE-Doc" research contract and Mathematics Department at Penn State University, where part of this project was done. We also thank Zhou Chen for many useful comments.

References

[1] A. Alekseev and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Manin Pairs and moment maps, *J. Differential Geom.* **56** (2000) 133-165.

[2] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin and E. Meinrenken, Lie group valued moment maps, *J. Diff. Geom.* **48** (1998) 445-495.

[3] A. Alekseev and P. Xu, Derived brackets and Courant algebroids, *unpublished manuscript.*

[4] H. Bursztyn and M. Crainic, Dirac structures, momentum maps, and quasi-Poisson manifolds. *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*, 1-40, Progr. Math. **232** Birkhuser Boston, 2005.

[5] H. Bursztyn and M. Crainic, Dirac geometry and quasi-Poisson actions, *Preprint.*

[6] H. Bursztyn, M. Crainic and P. Ševera, Lie algebroids of quasi-Poisson actions, Travaux mathématiques 16 (2005) 41-52.

[7] H. Bursztyn, D. Iglesias Ponte and P. Severa, Courant morphisms and moment maps, *to appear in Math. Res. Lett.*

[8] T. Courant, Dirac manifolds, *Trans. A.M.S.* **319** (1990) 631-661.

[9] V. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990) 1419-1457.

[10] D. Iglesias Ponte, C. Laurent-Gengoux and P. Xu, Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids, *preprint (2005), math.DG/0507396.*

[11] Z-J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Differential Geom.* **45** (1997) 547-574.

[12] Z-J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, Dirac structures and Poisson homogeneous spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **192** (1998) 121-144.

[13] J.-H. Lu and A. Weinstein, Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations, and Bruhat decompositions, *J. Diff. Geom.* **31** (1990) 501–526.

[14] K. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, no. 124. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[15] K. C. H. Mackenzie and P. Xu, Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994) 415–452.

[16] D. McDuff, The moment map for circle actions on symplectic manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **5** (1988) 149-160.

[17] D. Roytenberg, Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002) 123–137.